

TORIC DEGENERATIONS OF FANO VARIETIES AND CONSTRUCTING MIRROR MANIFOLDS

VICTOR V. BATYREV

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
e-mail: batyrev@bastau.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de*

Abstract

For an arbitrary smooth n -dimensional Fano variety X we introduce the notion of a *small toric degeneration*. Using small toric degenerations of Fano n -folds X , we propose a general method for constructing mirrors of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in X . Our mirror construction is based on a generalized monomial-divisor mirror correspondence which can be used for computing Gromov-Witten invariants of rational curves via specializations of GKZ-hypergeometric series.

1 Introduction

Recent progress in understanding the mirror symmetry phenomenon using explicit mirror constructions for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces and complete intersections in toric varieties [2, 4, 5, 9] leads to the following natural question:

Is it possible to extend the mirror constructions for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in toric Fano varieties to the case of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in nontoric Fano varieties?

The first progress in this direction has been obtained for Grassmannians [7] and, more generally, for partial flag manifolds [8]. The key idea in both examples is based on a degeneration of Grassmannians (resp. partial flag manifolds) to some singular Gorenstein toric Fano varieties. These degenerations have been introduced and investigated by Sturmfels, Gonciulea and Lakshmibai in [16, 17, 21, 29, 30].

The present paper is aimed to give a short systematic overview of our method for constructing mirror manifolds and to formulate some naturally arising questions and open problems.

In Section 2 we start with a review of a method for constructing degenerations of unirational varieties X to toric varieties Y using canonical subalgebra bases.

This is an extended version of the author's talk given during the Summer Symposium on Algebra at University of Niigata, July 22-25, 1997.

This method has been discovered by Kapur & Madlener [19] and independently by Robbiano & Sweedler [28]. Further results on this topic have been obtained in [27, 23, 29] (see also [30] for more details).

In Section 3 we introduce the notion of a *small toric degeneration* of a Fano manifold and discuss some examples. Finally, in Section 4 we explain our generalized mirror construction which uses small toric degenerations.

2 Canonical subalgebra bases

Let A be a finitely generated subalgebra of the polynomial ring

$$K[\mathbf{u}] := K[u_1, \dots, u_n],$$

i.e., $X = \text{Spec } A$ is an unirational affine algebraic variety together with a dominant morphism $\mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$. We choose a weight vector $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and set

$$wt(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}) = wt(u_1^{a_1} \cdots u_n^{a_n}) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \omega_i.$$

The number $wt(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}})$ will be called the **weight** of the monomial $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}$. We define a partial order on the set of all monomials in $K[\mathbf{u}]$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}} \prec \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}'} \Leftrightarrow wt(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}) \leq wt(\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}'}).$$

If $f \in K[\mathbf{u}]$ is a polynomial, then $in_{\prec}(f)$ denotes the **initial part of f** , i.e., the sum of those monomials in f whose weight is maximal. By definition, one has $in_{\prec}(fg) = in_{\prec}(f)in_{\prec}(g)$. For sufficiently general choice of the weight vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the initial part of a polynomial $f \in K[\mathbf{u}]$ is a single monomial.

Definition 2.1 The K -vector space spanned by initial terms of elements $f \in A$ is called the **initial algebra** and is denoted by

$$in_{\prec}(A) := \{in_{\prec}(f) : f \in A\}.$$

Definition 2.2 A subset $\mathcal{F} \subset A$ is called a **canonical basis of the subalgebra** $A \subset K[\mathbf{u}]$, if the initial subalgebra $in_{\prec}(A)$ is generated by the elements

$$\{in_{\prec}(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Fix a set of polynomials $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset A$. We set $K[\mathbf{v}] := K[v_1, \dots, v_m]$. Let I be the kernel of the canonical epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : K[\mathbf{v}] &\rightarrow A \\ v_i &\mapsto f_i \end{aligned}$$

and $I_{\prec}$ the kernel of the canonical epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 : K[\mathbf{v}] &\rightarrow in_{\prec}(A) \\ v_i &\mapsto in_{\prec}(f_i) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3 It is easy to show that the ideal $I_{\prec}$ is generated by binomials (see [12] for general theory of binomial ideals). Hence, the spectrum of $in_{\prec}(A)$ is an affine toric variety (possibly not normal).

Now we assume that $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ an integral weight vector. If the set of polynomials $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\} \subset A$ form a canonical basis of the subalgebra $A \subset K[\mathbf{u}]$ with respect to the partial order defined by ω , then we can define a 1-parameter family of subalgebras

$$A_t := \{f(t^{-\omega_1}u_1, \dots, t^{-\omega_n}u_n) \mid f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in A\}, \quad t \in K \setminus \{0\}.$$

Setting $A_0 := in_{\prec}(A)$, we obtain a flat family of subalgebras $A_t \subset K[\mathbf{u}]$ such that $A_t \cong A$ for $t \neq 0$ and $A_0 \cong K[\mathbf{v}]/I_{\prec}$. This allows us to consider the affine toric variety $Spec A_0$ as a flat degeneration of $Spec A$.

Remark 2.4 It is important to remark that the above method for constructing toric degenerations strongly depends on the choice of the coordinates $u_1, \dots, u_n$ on $\mathbb{A}^n$ and on the choice of a weight vector ω .

Example 2.5 Let $A(r, s) \subset K[\mathbf{X}] := K[X_{ij}]$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$) be the subalgebra of the polynomial algebra $K[\mathbf{X}]$ generated by all $r \times r$ minors of a generic $r \times s$ matrix ($r \leq s$), i.e., $A(r, s)$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Plücker embedded Grassmannian $G(r, s) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{s}{r}-1}$. Define the weights of monomials as follows

$$wt(X_{ij}) := (j-1)s^{i-1}, \quad i, j \geq 1.$$

In particular, one has

$$wt(X_{1,i_1} \cdots X_{r,i_r}) = (i_1-1) + (i_2-1)s + \cdots + (i_r-1)s^{r-1}$$

and therefore the initial term of each $(i_1, \dots, i_r)$ -minor ($1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq s$) is exactly the product of terms on the main diagonal:

$$X_{1,i_1} \cdots X_{r,i_r}.$$

The following result is due to Sturmfels [29, 30]:

Theorem 2.6 *The set of all $s \times s$ -minors form a canonical base of the subalgebra $A(r, s) \subset K[\mathbf{X}]$ with respect to the partial order defined by the above weight vector. In particular, one obtains a natural toric degeneration of the Grassmannian $G(r, s)$.*

3 Small toric degenerations of Fano varieties

Definition 3.1 Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be a smooth Fano variety of dimension n . A normal Gorenstein toric Fano variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ is called a **small toric degeneration** of X , if there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ and an irreducible subvariety $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^m \times U$ such that the morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow U$ is flat and the following conditions hold:

- (i) the fiber $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t) \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ is smooth for all $t \in U \setminus \{0\}$;
- (ii) the special fiber $X_0 := \pi^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ has at worst Gorenstein terminal singularities (see [20]) and X_0 is isomorphic to $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$;
- (iii) the canonical homomorphism

$$Pic(\mathfrak{X}/U) \rightarrow Pic(X_t)$$

is an isomorphism for all $t \in U$.

Remark 3.2 It is well-known that if Y has at worst terminal singularities, then the codimension of the singular locus of Y is at least 3. On the other hand, it is easy to show that the only possible toric Gorenstein terminal singularities in dimension 3 are ordinary double points (or nodes): $x_1x_2 - x_3x_4 = 0$. So, if Y is a small toric degeneration of X , then the singular locus of Y in codimension 3 must consist of nodes.

Example 3.3 Let $Y := P(r, s) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{s}{r}-1}$ be the toric degeneration of the Grassmannian $X := Gr(r, s) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{s}{r}-1}$ (see Example 2.5). Then Y is a small toric degeneration of X [7].

Example 3.4 Let $X := F(n_1, \dots, n_k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be the partial flag manifold it is Plücker embedding. It is proved in [8] that the toric degenerations introduced and investigated by Gonciulea and Lakshmibai in [16, 17, 21] are small toric degenerations of X .

Example 3.5 Let $V_{d,n} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a Gorenstein toric Fano hypersurface of degree d ($d \geq 2$) in projective space of dimension $n \geq 2d - 2$ defined by the homogeneous equation

$$z_1 \cdots z_d = z_{d+1} \cdots z_{2d}.$$

It is easy to check that irreducible components of the singular locus of $V_{d,n}$ are

$$\frac{d^2(d-1)^2}{4}$$

codimension-3 linear subspaces

$$z_i = z_j = z_k = z_l = 0,$$

$$\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}, \{k, l\} \subset \{d+1, \dots, 2d\}, i \neq j, k \neq l.$$

consisting of nodes.

Theorem 3.6 $V_{d,n} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a small toric degeneration of a smooth Fano hypersurface $X_{d,n} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d .

Proof. Let us first consider the case $n = 2d - 2$. In this case the $2(d - 1)$ -dimensional fan Σ_d defining the toric variety $V_{d,2(d-1)}$ can be constructed as follows:

Let $e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2(d-1)}$. We set $e_d := -e_1 - \dots - e_{d-1}$ and $f_d := -e_1 - \dots - f_{d-1}$. We denote by $h_{i,j}$ the sum $e_i + f_j$ ($i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). If Δ_d^* denotes the convex hull of d^2 points $h_{i,j}$, then the fan $\Sigma_d \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ consists of cones over faces of the reflexive polyhedron Δ_d^* , where the integral lattice $N \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2(d-1)}$ is generated by all d^2 lattice vectors $h_{i,j}$ (the sublattice $N \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2(d-1)}$ coincides with $\mathbb{Z}^{2(d-1)}$ unless $d = 2$).

Using the combinatorial characterisations of terminal toric singularities [20], one immediately obtains that all singularities of $V_{d,2(d-1)}$ are terminal, since the only N -lattice points on the faces of Δ_d^* are their vertices. If $d \geq 3$, then the Picard group of $V_{d,2(d-1)}$ is generated by the class of the hyperplane section, i.e., $\text{Pic}(V_{d,2(d-1)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and the anticanonical class of $V_{d,2(d-1)}$ is d -th multiple of the generator of $\text{Pic}(V_{d,2(d-1)})$. The latter can be show as follows:

Consider a $(2d - 3)$ -dimensional face of Δ_d^* having vertices

$$h_{i,j}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

Then every Σ_d -piecewise linear function $\varphi : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, up to summing a linear function, can be normalized by the condition

$$\varphi(h_{i,j}) = 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

On the other hand, for any $j \neq j', j, j' \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ four lattice points

$$h_{d,j}, h_{1,j}, h_{d,j'}, h_{1,j'}$$

generate a 3-dimensional cone in Σ_d . Hence

$$\varphi(h_{d,j}) = \varphi(h_{d,j'}) \quad \forall j, j' \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

This means that the space of all Σ_d -piecewise linear functions modulo linear functions is 1-dimensional. The anticanonical class is represented by the Σ_d -piecewise linear function φ_1 taking values 1 on each vector $h_{i,j}$ $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Considering the difference

$$\varphi'_1 := \varphi_1 - \lambda,$$

where λ is a linear function on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the conditions

$$\lambda(e_1) = \dots = \lambda(e_{d-1}) = 1, \quad \lambda(e_d) = -(d - 1), \quad \lambda(f_1) = \dots = \lambda(f_d) = 0,$$

we obtain a Σ_d -piecewise linear function having the properties

$$\varphi'_1(h_{i,j}) = 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$$

and

$$\varphi(h_{d,j}) = d \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

So the class of φ_1 modulo linear functions is a d -th multiple of a generator of $\text{Pic}(V_{d,2(d-1)})$.

The general case $n > 2(d-1)$ can be obtained by similar arguments using the fact that $V_{d,n}$ is a projective cone over $V_{d,2(d-1)}$. In order to construct the required flat 1-parameter family $\mathfrak{X}$ (cf. 3.1), it suffices to consider a pencil of hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ joining $X_{d,n}$ and $V_{d,n}$. $\square$

Theorem 3.7 *Let $X_d \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth Fano hypersurface of degree d . Then X_d admits a small toric degeneration if and only if $n \geq 2d - 2$.*

Proof. By 3.6, it suffices to show that X_d does not admit a small toric degeneration if $n < 2d - 2$. Assume that X_d admits a small toric degeneration Y_d . Then Y_d is a toric hypersurface defined by a binomial equation $M_1 = M_2$ where M_1 and M_2 are monomials in $z_0, \dots, z_{n+1}$ of degree d ($z_0, \dots, z_{n+1}$ are homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$). If $n < 2d - 2$, then at least one of the monomials M_1 and M_2 must be divisible by z_i^2 for some $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$. We can assume that for instance z_0^2 divides M_1 . If z_k and z_l are two variables appearing in M_2 , then $n - 2$ -dimensional linear subspace

$$z_0 = z_k = z_l = 0$$

is contained in $\text{Sing}(Y_d)$. This contradicts the fact that terminal singularities on Y_d could appear only in codimension ≥ 3 (see 3.2). $\square$

Using 3.2, one immediately obtains:

Proposition 3.8 *If X is a smooth Del Pezzo surface, then X admits a small toric degeneration if and only if X is itself a toric variety (i.e. $K_X^2 \geq 6$).*

As we have seen from 3.6, a smooth quadric 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is an example of nontoric smooth Fano variety which admits a small toric degeneration. By 3.7, cubic and quartic 3-folds do not admit small toric degenerations. The complete classification of smooth Fano 3-folds has been obtained in [10, 18, 24, 25, 26]. It is natural to ask the following:

Question 3.9 *Which 3-dimensional nontoric smooth Fano varieties do admit small toric degenerations?*

4 The mirror construction

For our convenience, we assume $K = \mathbb{C}$.

Let X be a smooth Fano n -fold over $\mathbb{C}$ and Y is its small toric degeneration. The toric variety Y is defined by some complete rational polyhedral fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, where

$N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is the real scalar extension of a $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. We denote by $Cl(Y)$ (resp. by $Pic(Y)$) the group of Weil (resp. Cartier) divisors on Y modulo the rational equivalence. One has a canonical embedding

$$\alpha : Pic(Y) \hookrightarrow Cl(Y).$$

If $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\} \subset N$ is the set of integral generators of 1-dimensional cones in Σ , then $Cl(Y)$ is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $k - n$ and the convex hull of $e_1, \dots, e_k$ is a reflexive polyhedron Δ^* (for definition of reflexive polyhedra see [2]). Assume that there exists a partition of the set $I = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ into r disjoint subsets $J_1, \dots, J_r$ such that the union D_i of toric strata in Y corresponding to elements of J_i is a semiample Cartier divisor on Y for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Denote by $Z \subset Y$ a Calabi-Yau complete intersection of r hypersurfaces $Z_i \subset Y$ defined by vanishing of generic global sections of $\mathcal{O}_Y(D_i)$. By [4] (see also [9]), the mirrors Z^* of Calabi-Yau complete intersections $Z \subset Y$ are birationally isomorphic to affine complete intersections in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n = Spec \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ defined by r equations

$$1 = \sum_{e_j \in J_i}^k a_j \mathbf{t}^{e_j}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, r\},$$

where $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ is a general complex vector and $\mathbf{t}^{e_1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}^{e_k}$ are Laurent monomials in variables $t_1, \dots, t_n$ with the exponents $e_1, \dots, e_k$.

Definition 4.1 A complex vector $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ is called Σ -**admissible**, if there exists a Σ -piecewise linear function

$$\varphi : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

(i.e., a continuous function such that $\varphi|_{\sigma}$ is linear for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$) having the property

$$\varphi(e_i) = \log |a_i|, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

The set of all Σ -admissible vectors will be denoted by $A(\Sigma)$.

Remark 4.2 It is easy to show that $A(\Sigma) \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ is an irreducible closed subvariety which is isomorphic to an affine toric variety of dimension $rk \, Pic(Y) + n \leq k$.

Now our generalization of the mirror construction from [4] to the case of Calabi-Yau complete intersections in a nontoric Fano variety X can be formulated as follows:

Generalized mirror construction: *Mirrors W^* of generic Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces $W \subset X$ are birationally isomorphic to the affine complete intersections*

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{t}^{e_i},$$

where $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a general point of $A(\Sigma)$.

Monomial-divisor correspondence: Let us explain the monomial-divisor mirror correspondence for this mirror construction (cf. [1]). By 3.1(iii), the group $Pic(Y)$ can be canonically identified with $Pic(X)$. The image of the restriction homomorphism $Pic(X) \rightarrow Pic(W)$ defines a subgroup $G \subset Pic(W)$, whose elements correspond to monomial deformations of the complex structure on mirrors:

if ψ is an integral Σ -piecewise linear function representing an element $\gamma \in G$, then the 1-parameter family of hypersurfaces

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k t_0^{\varphi(e_i)} \mathbf{t}^{e_i}, \quad t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$$

defines the corresponding 1-parameter deformation of the complex structure on W^ via the deformation of the coefficients $a_i = t_0^{\varphi(e_i)}$.*

The main period: Let $R(\Sigma)$ the group of all vectors $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ satisfying the condition $\sum_{i=1}^k l_i e_i = 0$ and $L(\Sigma) \subset R(\Sigma)$ be the semigroup consisting of vectors $(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in R(\Sigma)$ with nonnegative coordinates l_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). There exists a canonical pairing $\langle *, * \rangle : R(\Sigma) \times Pic(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is the intersection pairing between 1-dimensional cycles and Cartier divisors on Y . According to [4], we can compute the main period in the family of mirrors W^* in our generalized mirror construction as follows

$$\Phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\mathbf{l}=(l_1, \dots, l_k) \in L(\Sigma)} \frac{\langle \mathbf{l}, D_1 + \dots + D_r \rangle!}{\langle \mathbf{l}, D_1 \rangle! \dots \langle \mathbf{l}, D_r \rangle!} \prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{l_i}, \quad \mathbf{a} \in A(\Sigma).$$

The condition $\mathbf{a} \in A(\Sigma)$ can be interpreted as a specialization of GKZ -hypergeometric series from [4].

Some evidences in favor of our generalized mirror construction were presented in [7, 8]. For our next examples confirming the proposed generalized mirror construction we use the following simple combinatorial statement:

Proposition 4.3 *Let $S_d(m)$ be the set of all $d \times d$ -matrices $K = (k_{ij})$ with nonnegative integral coefficients k_{ij} satisfying the equations*

$$(1 \quad \dots \quad 1) \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & \dots & k_{1d} \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ k_{d1} & \dots & k_{dd} \end{pmatrix} = (m \quad \dots \quad m)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & \dots & k_{1d} \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ k_{d1} & \dots & k_{dd} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{K \in S_d(m)} \frac{(m!)^d}{\prod_{i,j=1}^d (k_{ij})!} = \frac{(dm)!}{(m!)^d}.$$

Proof. Let A be the set $\{1, 2, \dots, dm\}$ of first dm natural numbers. We fix a splitting A into the disjoint union of d subsets

$$A_i := \{(i-1)m+1, (i-1)m+2, \dots, im\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

consisting of m elements. Let $\beta : A = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_d$ be an arbitrary representation of A as a disjoint union of the subsets $B_1, \dots, B_d$ with the property $|B_1| = \dots = |B_d| = m$. Then every such a representation defines a matrix $K(\beta) = (k_{ij}(\beta)) \in S_d(m)$ as follows:

$$k_{ij}(\beta) := |A_i \cap B_j|, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}.$$

For a fixed matrix $K \in S_d(m)$ there exist exactly

$$\prod_{j=1}^d \frac{(m!)}{\prod_{i=1}^d (k_{ij})!}$$

ways to construct a representation β of A as a disjoint union of m -element subsets $B_1, \dots, B_d$ such that $K = K(\beta)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{K \in S_d(m)} \frac{(m!)^d}{\prod_{i,j=1}^d (k_{ij})!}$$

is the total number of ways to split A into a disjoint union of m -element subsets $B_1, \dots, B_d$. On the other hand, this number is equal to the multinomial

$$\frac{(dm)!}{(m!)^d}.$$

□

Example 4.4 Let W be a generic Calabi-Yau complete intersection of two hypersurfaces V_d, V'_d in $\mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$. By 3.6, we can construct a small toric degeneration of one smooth hypersurface V'_d to the $2(d-1)$ -dimensional toric variety $Y_d \subset \mathbb{P}^{2d-1}$

$$z_0 z_1 \cdots z_{d-1} = z_d z_{d+1} \cdots z_{2d-1}.$$

Using an explicit description of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(Y_d)$ from the proof of 3.6, our generalized mirror construction suggests that mirrors W^* for W are birationally isomorphic to the affine hypersurfaces Z_F in the algebraic torus

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{d-1}^{\pm 1}, u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_{d-1}^{\pm 1}]$$

defined by the 1-parameter family of the equations

$$1 = F(t_1, \dots, t_{d-1}, u_1, \dots, u_{d-1}, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} t_i u_j + (u_1 \cdots u_{d-1})^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} t_i \right) + \\ + z(t_1 \cdots t_{d-1})^{-1} \left((u_1 \cdots u_{d-1})^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} u_i \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

On the other hand, it is known via a toric mirror construction for Calabi-Yau complete intersection $W = V_d \cap V'_d$ (see [4]) that the power series

$$\Phi_0(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(dm!)^2}{(m!)^{2d}} z^m$$

generates the Picard-Fuchs D -module describing the quantum differential system. Now we compare our generalized mirror construction with the known one from [4] computing the main period of the family Z_F by the Cauchy residue formula:

$$\Psi_F(z) := \frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2(d-1)}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{1 - F(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, z)} \frac{d\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}} \wedge \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}} = 1 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}} := \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dt_{d-1}}{t_{d-1}}, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}} := \frac{du_1}{u_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{du_{d-1}}{u_{d-1}},$$

where the coefficients a_m of the power series $\Psi_F(z)$ can be computed by the formula

$$a_m = \sum_{K \in S_d(m)} \frac{(dm)!}{\prod_{i,j=1}^d (k_{ij})!}.$$

Using 4.3, we obtain that

$$a_m = \frac{(dm!)^2}{(m!)^{2d}},$$

i.e., the power series $\Psi_F(z)$ coincides with $\Phi_0(z)$ and therefore our generalized mirror construction agrees with the already known one from [4].

For the special case $d = 3$, we obtain a description for mirrors W^* of complete intersections W of two cubics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ as smooth compactifications of hypersurfaces in the 4-dimensional algebraic torus

$$\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, u_1^{\pm 1}, u_2^{\pm 1}]$$

defined by the 1-parameter family of the equations

$$1 = F(t_1, t_2, u_1, u_2, \lambda) = t_1 u_1 + t_1 u_2 + t_1 (u_1 u_2)^{-1} + t_2 u_1 + t_2 u_2 + t_2 (u_1 u_2)^{-1} + \\ + z(t_1 t_2)^{-1} (u_1 + u_2 + (u_1 u_2)^{-1}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This description of mirrors is different from the one proposed by Libgober and Teitelbaum in [22], but it seems that both constructions are equivalent to each other.

Now we want to suggest some problem which naturally arise from the proposed generalized mirror construction.

Problem 4.5 *Check the topological mirror duality test*

$$E_{\text{st}}(W^*; u, v) = (-u)^n E_{\text{st}}(W; u^{-1}, v)$$

for the above generalized mirror construction. Here E_{st} is the stringy E -function introduced in [3].

Remark 4.6 The main difficulty of this checking arises from the fact that the affine complete intersections in the above mirror construction are not *generic*. For Δ^* -regular affine hypersurfaces there exists explicit combinatorial formula for their E -polynomials (see [6]). However, the affine hypersurfaces in our mirror construction are not Δ^* -regular and no explicit formula for their E -polynomials (or Hodge-Deligne numbers) is known so far.

Problem 4.7 *Generalize the method of Givental [13, 14, 15] for computing Gromov-Witten invariants of complete intersections in smooth Fano varieties X admitting small toric degenerations.*

Remark 4.8 If X is a smooth Fano n -fold admitting a small toric degeneration Y , then one can not expect that there exists a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on X . So the equivariant arguments from [13] can not be applied directly to X . However, one could try to use equivariant Gromov-Witten theory for the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}^m$ containing both X and Y and to show that the virtual fundamental classes corresponding to Y and X are the same. It seems that small quantum cohomology of Y carry complete information about the subring in the small quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(X)$ generated by the classes of divisors. This would give an explicit description of such a subring (see [31]) as well as of its gravitational version via Lax operators (see [11]).

References

- [1] P.S. Aspinwall, B.R. Greene, and D.R. Morrison, . *The monomial-divisor mirror map*, Int. Math. Res. Not., No.12, (1993), 319-337.
- [2] V.V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3** (1994), 493-535.
- [3] V.V. Batyrev, *Stringy Hodge numbers of varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities*, Preprint 1997, alg-geom/9711018
- [4] V.V. Batyrev and D. van Straten, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions and Rational Curves on Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Toric Varieties*, Commun. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), 493-533.

- [5] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *Dual Cones and Mirror Symmetry for Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, in *Mirror Symmetry II*, (eds. S.-T. Yau), pp.65-80 (1995).
- [6] V.V. Batyrev, L.A. Borisov, *Mirror duality and string-theoretic Hodge numbers*, Invent. Math., **126** (1996), p. 183-203.
- [7] V.V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. van Straten, *Conifolds Transitions and Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Grassmannians*, alg-geom/9710022
- [8] V.V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. van Straten, *Mirror Symmetry and Toric Degenerations of Partial Flag Manifolds*, Preprint 1997.
- [9] L.A. Borisov, *Towards the Mirror Symmetry for Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*. University of Michigan, Preprint 1993, alg-geom/9310001.
- [10] S.-D. Cutkosky, *On Fano 3-folds*, Manuscripta Math. **64** (1989), no. 2, 189–204.
- [11] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and Ch.-Sh. Xiong, *Gravitational Quantum Cohomology*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A12 (1997) 1743-1782.
- [12] D. Eisenbud, B. Sturmfels, *Binomial ideals*. Duke Math.J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
- [13] A. Givental, *Equivariant Gromov-Witten Invariants*, IMRN, No. 13 (1996), 613-663. (alg-geom/9603021)
- [14] A. Givental, *Stationary Phase Integrals, Quantum Toda Lattices, Flag Manifolds and the Mirror Conjecture*, alg-geom/9612001.
- [15] A. Givental, *A Mirror Theorem for Toric Complete Intersections*, alg-geom/9701016.
- [16] N. Gonciulea and V. Lakshmibai, *Degenerations of flag and Schubert varieties to toric varieties*, Transform. Groups **1** (1996), no. 3, 215–248.
- [17] N. Gonciulea and V. Lakshmibai, *Schubert varieties, toric varieties and ladder determinantal varieties*, Preprint 1996.
<http://www.math.neu.edu/~lakshmibai/publ.html>
- [18] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Fano 3-folds. I,II*, Math. USSR-Izv. **11**, 485-527 (1977), **42**, 506-549 (1978).
- [19] D. Kapur and K. Madlener, *A completion procedure for computing a canonical basis for a k -subalgebra*. Collection: Computers and mathematics (Cambridge, MA, 1989) Eds. Kaltofen and S.M. Watt) , 1–11.

- [20] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Program*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283-360.
- [21] V. Lakshmibai, *Degenerations of flag varieties to toric varieties*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser.I Math. **321** (1995), no. 9, 1229–1234.
- [22] A. Libgober, J. Teitelbaum, *Lines on Calabi-Yau complete intersections, mirror symmetry, and Picard-Fuchs equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not., No.1, (1993), 29-39.
- [23] J.L. Miller, *Analogs of Gröbner bases in polynomial rings over a ring*, J. Symbolic Comput. **21** (1996), no. 2, 139–153.
- [24] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , Manuscr. Math. **36** (1981), 147-162.
- [25] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *On Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \geq 2$* , in *Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties*, Proc. Symp., Tokyo 1981, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **1** (1983), 101-129.
- [26] S. Mukai and H. Umemura, in *Algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer, Tokyo/Kyoto (1982), 490–518.
- [27] F. Ollivier, *Canonical bases: relations with standard bases, finiteness conditions and application to tame automorphisms* In *Effective methods in algebraic geometry* (Castiglione, 1990), 379–400, Progr. Math., 94, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991.
- [28] L. Robbiano and M. Sweedler, *Computing a canonical basis of a k -subalgebra*, Workshop on Grobner bases, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY, 1988; Lect Notes in Math. , Springer, Berlin, 1990 **1430**, pp.61-87.
- [29] B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Wien, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [30] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polyhedra*, American Mathematical Society, University Lecture Series, Vol. 8, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [31] B. Siebert and G. Tian, *On Quantum Cohomology Rings of Fano Manifolds and a Formula of Vafa and Intriligator*, alg-geom/940301.